IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

CHARLES J. BELSER, III)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	2:06-CV-00058-MEF
)	[wo]
MONTGOMERY WATSON, et al.,)	[]
Defendants.)	

ORDER ON MOTION

Pursuant to the Order filed on January 26, 2006 referring this case for action on all pretrial matters and for recommendation as may be appropriate (Doc. 2), the undersigned Magistrate Judge considers the *pro se* Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. 3, filed Jan. 20, 2006).

The court wishes the plaintiff to understand *fully* the limited nature of being allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis*. This status does permit the plaintiff to *commence* this lawsuit without *prepayment* of fees and court costs, but it does not permit the plaintiff to maintain the lawsuit without incurring any personal expenses.

The Plaintiff should understand that he *may* incur expenses as a result of the prosecution of this case, and there is no provision for the court's payment of those expenses. For example, in the event of a trial, the Plaintiff may compel the attendance of witnesses through subpoena *only* by tendering to each witness payment of a one-day witness fee of \$40, plus mileage. Additionally, court costs, in varying amounts, can be very substantial,

and they are normally assessed against the losing party. This means that a plaintiff who loses a case may be charged with, and obligated to pay, *all* court costs, even though the plaintiff

is proceeding in forma pauperis,

Having advised the Plaintiff of the possible expense of litigation, it is

ORDERED that the *Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis* (Doc. 3) be and is hereby **GRANTED**.

For good cause, it is further ORDERED that service of the Complaint shall not proceed pending the entry of appropriate orders following the hearing scheduled by order filed herewith.

Done this 8th day of February, 2006.

/s/ Delores R. Boyd DELORES R. BOYD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE